

### Remarks

In paragraph 1 of the Office action, the Examiner objected to informalities in the abstract. Applicant has replaced the word "disclosed" with "described in the first sentence of the Abstract. Applicant has corrected the misspelling of the word "blade" in the second sentence of the abstract.

The Examiner objected to the improperly numbered claims in that there was a duplicate Claim 10. Applicant has cancelled claims 2 through 15 of the previously submitted claim set. This cancellation includes both claims 10.

Applicant has addressed the Examiners rejections of Claims 2 through 6 in paragraphs 3 through 4 by canceling claims 2 through 15 and submitting new claims 16 through 24.

Under "Allowable Subject Matter" in paragraph 5 the Examiner objected to claims 7 through 14 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. These claims were actually 7 through 15 making the next claim number claim 16. The examiner indicated that both claims 10 were allowable.

Applicant has rewritten claims 7 through 15 as new independent claims 16 through 24.

Claim 16 contains all the elements of claims 2 through 7 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 17 contains all the elements of claims 2 through 8 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 18 contains all the elements of claims 2 through 9 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 19 contains all the elements of claims 2 through first claim 10 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 20 contains all the elements of claims 2 through second claim 10 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 21 contains all the elements of claims 2 through first claim 10 and claim 11 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 22 contains all the elements of claims 2 through first claim 10 and claim 12 and therefore is allowable.

Claim 23 contains all the elements of claims 2 through first claim 10 and claim 13 and therefore is allowable.


Claim 24 contains all the elements of claims 2 through first claim 10 and claim 14 and therefore is allowable.

Reexamination and reconsideration are requested.

This amendment creates 9 new independent claims. Applicant has previously paid for 3 therefore the fee in the amount of \$600 for the 6 independent claims in excess of 3 has been included.

It is believed, in view of the amendments and remarks herein, that all grounds of objection have been addressed and overcome, and that all claims remaining in the application are in condition for allowance. If it would further the prosecution of the application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned attorney at the phone number provided.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Crossley", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Mark A. Crossley- Reg. No. 48,136

400 South Third Street

Montrose, Colorado 81401

970-252-1138/970-240-0706 fax